arXiv:hep-th/9903266v2 23 Apr 1999

LORENTZ INVARIANT RENORMALIZATION IN CAUSAL PERTURBATION THEORY

K. BRESSER, G. PINTER AND D. PRANGE
II. Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Hamburg
Luruper Chaussee 149
22761 Hamburg Germany
e-mail: klaus.bresser@desy.de, gudrun.pinter@desy.de, dirk.prange@desy.de

Abstract. In the framework of causal perturbation theory renormalization consists of the extension of distributions. We give the explicit form of a Lorentz invariant extension of a scalar distribution, depending on one difference of space time coordinates.

1. Introduction

Causal perturbation theory was founded by the work of [1], [2] and [3]. In this approach, the S-matrix is constructed as a formal functional expansion in a so-called switching function of the interaction. The coefficients in the expansion, determined by induction, are time ordered products of operator valued distributions.

The advantage of this approach in comparison with the derivation of the S-matrix in the Hamilton formalism is that the time plays no distinguished role, and only a few physical properties of the S-matrix like causality, locality and unitarity are assumed.

The definition of the time ordered products in the S-matrix requires an extension of their domain of definition which corresponds to renormalization. This is briefly discussed in section 2. To obtain a Lorentz invariant S-matrix, the extension has to be Lorentz invariant. The existence of such an extension was first proved in [3], later it was discussed in [4] and [5] as a cohomological problem.

In spite of the knowledge of its existence the explicit form of this extension has not been calculated up to now. We fill this gap, giving a solution for a distribution in one argument in section 3.

In the last section we calculate the dependence of the Lorentz invariant extension on the auxiliary function needed in the extension procedure.

2. From the S-matrix to the Extension of Distributions

In causal perturbation theory the S-matrix is expanded as a functional of the testfunction $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ switching the coupling:

$$S(g) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x_1 \ldots \int \mathrm{d}^4 x_n T\left(\mathcal{L}_{int}(x_1) \ldots \mathcal{L}_{int}(x_n)\right) g(x_1) \ldots g(x_n). \tag{1}$$

The coefficients of this expansion are the time ordered (T-) products of the interaction part $\mathcal{L}_{int}$ of the Lagrangian density. They are symmetric operator valued Lorentz invariant distributions on a dense domain of the Fock space of free fields. A T-product of given order n is defined by all T-products of lower order up to the total diagonal $\Delta = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) | x_i = x_j \ \forall i, j\}$ through causality: $T|\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n} \setminus \Delta\right) = {}^0T$. Using Wick's theorem ${}^0T$ can be decomposed into a sum of products of Lorentz invariant, translation invariant numerical distributions and Wick ordered free field operators,

$${}^0T\left(\mathcal{L}_{int}(x_1) \ldots \mathcal{L}_{int}(x_n)\right) = \sum_i {}^0t_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : A_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : . \tag{2}$$

Note that for noncoincident points ${}^0t$ is a product of Feynman propagators. According to theorem 0 of [3] it is sufficient to extend the numerical part ${}^0t_i$ to the diagonal to find T. This is the inductive causal construction.

An extension of the numerical distribution ${}^0t$ always exists, but the uniqueness of the extension depends on the singular behaviour of ${}^0t$ at the total diagonal [6]. By choosing difference coordinates, the singularity is shifted to the origin. The singular behaviour at the origin is described by the scaling degree and the singular order.

Definition 2.1. *The scaling degree δ of a numerical distribution t at 0 is defined by*

$$\delta := \inf\left\{\delta' \,\middle|\, \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{\delta'} t(\epsilon x) = 0\right\}. \tag{3}$$

Definition 2.2. *The singular order ω of a numerical distribution t at 0 is defined by*

$$\omega = [\delta - d] \tag{4}$$

where d is the space time dimension and $[x]$ denotes the largest integer n with $n \leq x$.

With $\mathcal{D}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^{4n})$ we denote the subspace of testfunctions vanishing to order ω at 0:

$$\mathcal{D}_\omega\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n}\right) = \left\{\psi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n}\right) | D^\alpha \psi(0) = 0 \ \forall \ |\alpha| \leq \omega\right\}, \tag{5}$$

where α is a multiindex. Distributions with singular order ω are well defined on $\mathcal{D}_\omega$ [6]. The extension of the numerical distribution to $\mathcal{D}$ is achieved with the help of a projection operator, acting on the testfunctions.

Definition 2.3. *To each $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $w \in \mathcal{D}_0^\infty$ fulfilling $w(0) = 1$, $D^\alpha w(0) = 0$ $\forall$ multiindices α with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \omega$, we associate a projection operator*

$$W(\omega, w): \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n}\right) \to \mathcal{D}_\omega\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n}\right)$$
$$\phi(x) \mapsto \phi(x) - w(x) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \omega} \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} D^\alpha \phi(0). \tag{6}$$

W is a modified Taylor subtraction operator. Since the function w has compact support, the result is a function with compact support and vanishes to order ω at 0. Therefore the distribution ${}^0t$ with singular order ω is defined on all $W(\omega, w)\phi$. The extension of ${}^0t$ to $\mathcal{D}'$ has the following form [6]:

$$\langle t, \phi \rangle = \left\langle {}^0t, W(\omega, w)\phi \right\rangle + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \omega} \frac{c^\alpha}{\alpha!} D^\alpha \phi(0). \tag{7}$$

If the singular order ω of ${}^0t$ is negative, the extension is unique, in the other case there are free constants c^α in the extension procedure. The form of the c^α can be restricted by demanding invariance of t under symmetry operations, e.g. under the action of the Lorentz group.

3. The Lorentz-Invariant Extension in Scalar Theories

It is possible to determine the free constants c^α of an extension t such that Lorentz invariance of ${}^0t$ is preserved [3, 4, 5]. We denote the action of the Lorentz group on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ by $x \to D(\Lambda)x$. This leads naturally to an action on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{4n})$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{4n})$, respectively, given by

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n}\right) \ni \phi \mapsto \phi_\Lambda, \qquad \phi_\Lambda(x) := \phi\left(D\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)x\right)$$
$$\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n}\right) \ni t \mapsto t_\Lambda, \qquad \langle t_\Lambda, \phi \rangle := \langle t, \phi_{\Lambda^{-1}} \rangle .$$

According to equation (7), the extension has the form

$$\langle t, \phi \rangle = \left\langle {}^0t, \phi - w \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \omega} \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} D^\alpha \phi(0) \right\rangle + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \omega} \frac{c^\alpha}{\alpha!} D^\alpha \phi(0). \tag{8}$$

After performing a Lorentz transformation we obtain

$$\langle t_\Lambda, \phi \rangle = \langle t, \phi_{\Lambda^{-1}} \rangle = \left\langle {}^0t, \phi - w_\Lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \omega} \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} D^\alpha \phi(0) \right\rangle + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \omega} \frac{(D(\Lambda)c)^\alpha}{\alpha!} D^\alpha \phi(0). \tag{9}$$

In order to be a Lorentz invariant extension, the difference of (8) and (9) has to be zero:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq\omega} \frac{D^\alpha\phi(0)}{\alpha!} \left\langle {}^0t, (w-w_\Lambda)\, x^\alpha \right\rangle = -\sum_{|\alpha|\leq\omega} \left((D(\Lambda)-1)\, c\right)^\alpha \frac{D^\alpha\phi(0)}{\alpha!}. \tag{10}$$

So we have to solve

$$\left\langle {}^0t, (w-w_\Lambda)\, x^\alpha \right\rangle = -\left((D(\Lambda)-1)\, c\right)^\alpha \tag{11}$$

for all α, where c^α is a tensor of rank $|\alpha|$ and $D(\Lambda)$ is the corresponding tensor representation of the Lorentz group.

From now on, we restrict ourselves to the case of distributions in one coordinate. In this case only the totally symmetric part of the c^α contributes to (8). Using Lorentz indices, (11) reads

$$\left\langle {}^0t, (w-w_\Lambda)\, x^{\mu_1}\dots x^{\mu_n} \right\rangle = -\left(\Lambda^{\mu_1}{}_{\beta_1}\dots\Lambda^{\mu_n}{}_{\beta_n} - \delta^{\mu_1}_{\beta_1}\dots\delta^{\mu_n}_{\beta_n}\right) c^{\beta_1\dots\beta_n} \tag{12}$$

where $n=|\alpha|$. Using infinitesimal transformations we can solve these equations for c inductively.

In the case $|\alpha|=0$, (11) is fulfilled for all choices of c, since the 1-dimensional representation of the Lorentz group is trivial. For $|\alpha|\geq 1$, the solution is unique up to Lorentz invariant contributions consisting only of symmetrized tensor products of the metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ (which generate Lorentz invariant counterterms like $\Box\delta(x)$).

We use the generators of Lorentz transformations

$$\left(l^{\alpha\beta}\right)^\nu{}_\mu = g^{\beta\nu}\delta^\alpha_\mu - g^{\alpha\nu}\delta^\beta_\mu. \tag{13}$$

The representation of a Lorentz transformation on $\mathbb{R}^4$ has the form

$$\Lambda^\nu{}_\mu = \delta^\nu_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(l^{\alpha\beta})^\nu{}_\mu + O(\Theta^2) \tag{14}$$

with infinitesimal parameters $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}=-\Theta_{\beta\alpha}$. We obtain

$$w(x) - w(\Lambda^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(l^{\alpha\beta})^\nu{}_\mu x^\mu\partial_\nu w(x) + O(\Theta^2). \tag{15}$$

We use the abbreviations

$$n!! = \begin{cases} 2\cdot 4\cdot\ldots\cdot n & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 1\cdot 3\cdot\ldots\cdot n & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

and

$$b^{(\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n)} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n} b^{\alpha_{\pi(1)}\dots\alpha_{\pi(n)}} \tag{17}$$

for the total symmetric part of a tensor b. Now we prove by induction over $|\alpha|$ that the symmetric part of c^α for $|\alpha|>0$ is given by (up to the aforementioned

ambiguity for even $|\alpha|$):

$$c^{(\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n)} = \frac{(n-1)!!}{(n+2)!!}\sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]}\frac{(n-2s)!!}{(n-1-2s)!!}g^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2}\dots g^{\alpha_{2s-1}\alpha_{2s}}\times \\ \times\left\langle {}^0t,(x^2)^s x^{\alpha_{2s+1}}\dots x^{\alpha_{n-1}}\left(x^2\partial^{\alpha_n)}w - x^{\alpha_n)}x^\beta\partial_\beta w\right)\right\rangle. \quad (18)$$

At the beginning of the induction we determine the c^α for $|\alpha|=1$ and $|\alpha|=2$.

1. $|\alpha|=1$. We obtain

$$\left((D(\Lambda)-1)\,c\right)^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(l^{\alpha\beta})^\nu{}_\mu c^\mu \\ \overset{(15)(11)}{=} -\left\langle {}^0t,\frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(l^{\alpha\beta})^\rho{}_\mu x^\mu\partial_\rho w x^\nu\right\rangle, \quad (19)$$

which yields (independence of $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$)

$$(l^{\alpha\beta})^\nu{}_\mu c^\mu = -\left\langle {}^0t,(l^{\alpha\beta})^\rho{}_\sigma x^\sigma\partial_\rho w x^\nu\right\rangle. \quad (20)$$

Inserting the form (13) of the $(l^{\alpha\beta})$ yields

$$g^{\beta\nu}c^\alpha - g^{\nu\alpha}c^\beta = -\left\langle {}^0t,\left(x^\alpha\partial^\beta w - x^\beta\partial^\alpha w\right)x^\nu\right\rangle. \quad (21)$$

Contracting finally with $g_{\nu\beta}$ on both sides yields

$$c^\alpha = \frac{1}{3}\left\langle {}^0t,x^2\partial^\alpha w - x^\alpha x^\beta\partial_\beta w\right\rangle. \quad (22)$$

2. $|\alpha|=2$. We obtain from (12)

$$\left\langle {}^0t,(w-w_\Lambda)\,x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\right\rangle = -\left(D(\Lambda)^{\alpha_1}{}_{\beta_1}D(\Lambda)^{\alpha_2}{}_{\beta_2} - \delta^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}\delta^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}\right)c^{\beta_1\beta_2}. \quad (23)$$

With (14) and (15) we get

$$\left\langle {}^0t,(l^{\alpha\beta})^\rho{}_\mu x^\mu\partial_\rho w x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\right\rangle = (l^{\alpha\beta})^{\alpha_1}{}_\sigma c^{\sigma\alpha_2} + (l^{\alpha\beta})^{\alpha_2}{}_\sigma c^{\sigma\alpha_1}. \quad (24)$$

Inserting the form (13) of the $(l^{\alpha\beta})$ and contracting both sides with $g_{\beta\alpha_1}$ yields

$$4c^{\alpha\alpha_2} - g^{\alpha\alpha_2}c^\mu{}_\mu = -\left\langle {}^0t,x^\alpha x^{\alpha_2}x^\sigma\partial_\sigma w - x^{\alpha_2}x^2\partial^\alpha w\right\rangle. \quad (25)$$

As in the case $|\alpha|=0$ we choose $c^\mu{}_\mu = 0$ and obtain (by setting $\alpha=\alpha_1$):

$$c^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)} = -\frac{1}{4}\left\langle {}^0t,x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}x^\sigma\partial_\sigma w - x^2x^{(\alpha_1}\partial^{\alpha_2)}w\right\rangle. \quad (26)$$

We now assume that (18) holds for all integers smaller than n and describe the induction $|\alpha| = n-2 \to |\alpha| = n$. With the examples of the beginning of the

induction, it is easy to see how the calculation is done for higher $|\alpha|$. For $|\alpha| = n$ we obtain instead of (21) the following equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(g^{\beta\alpha_i}\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} - g^{\alpha\alpha_i}\delta^{\beta}_{\mu} \right) c^{\mu\alpha_1\ldots\check{\alpha}_i\ldots\alpha_n} = \\ = -\left\langle {}^0t, \left(x^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}w - x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}w \right) x^{\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\ldots x^{\alpha_n} \right\rangle . \quad (27)$$

On the left hand side only the symmetric traceless part of the c^{α} yields a contribution. Contracting (27) with $g_{\beta\alpha_1}$ yields

$$(n+2)c^{\alpha\alpha_2\ldots\ldots\alpha_n} - \sum_{i\geq 2} g^{\alpha\alpha_i} c_{\rho}{}^{\rho\alpha_2\ldots\check{\alpha}_i\ldots\alpha_n} = \\ = -\left\langle t, \left(x^{\alpha}x^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}w - x^2\partial^{\alpha}w \right) x^{\alpha_2}\ldots x^{\alpha_n} \right\rangle . \quad (28)$$

The second term on the left hand side of (28) is determined by the induction hypothesis, because it is the solution of the problem for $|\alpha| = n-2$ for the distribution $x^2 t$. Setting $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and symmetrizing in the indices $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n$, the form (18) is obtained.

4. Dependence on w

If we had chosen another testfunction $w \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, our result should differ only by Lorentz invariant counterterms. To use the functional derivation, we notice, that the difference of two admissible auxiliary functions has to be in $\mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

Definition 4.1. *The functional derivation is defined by*

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta w} F(w), \psi \right\rangle := \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} F(w + \lambda\psi) \right|_{\lambda=0},$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

Applying that definition to the first term of (7), we get:

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta w} \left\langle {}^0t, W(\omega, w)\phi \right\rangle, \psi \right\rangle = -\sum_{|\alpha|\leq\omega} \left\langle {}^0t, x^{\alpha}\psi \right\rangle \frac{D^{\alpha}\phi(0)}{\alpha!}. \quad (29)$$

Now we determine the contribution from c:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta w} c^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(w), \psi \right\rangle =& \\
=& \frac{1}{n+2}\Big\langle \big(\partial_\sigma x^\sigma x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)} - \partial^{(\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_2}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)} x^2\big)\,{}^0t + \\
&+ \frac{n-1}{n}\Big(\partial_\sigma x^\sigma x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_{n-2}}\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)} x^2 + \\
&\qquad - \partial^{(\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_2}\cdots x^{\alpha_{n-2}}\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}(x^2)^2\Big)\,{}^0t + \\
&+ \vdots \\
&+ \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-1)\cdots 2}{n\cdots 3} & \Big(\partial_\sigma x^\sigma x^{(\alpha_1}\eta^{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}(x^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + \\ & \qquad -\partial^{(\alpha_1}\eta^{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}(x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\Big)\,{}^0t, \psi\Big\rangle \ n \text{ odd}, \\ \dfrac{(n-1)\cdots 3}{n\cdots 4} & \Big(\partial_\sigma x^\sigma x^{(\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_2}\eta^{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}(x^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \\ & \qquad -\partial^{(\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_2}\eta^{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}(x^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}\Big)\,{}^0t, \psi\Big\rangle \ n \text{ even} \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Since the singular order of every term is $\omega - n$ we are allowed to differentiate strongly. The first line reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\sigma x^\sigma x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t - \partial^{(\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_2}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)} x^2\,{}^0t \\
&\qquad = (n+2)x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t - (n-1)x^2\eta^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2}x^{\alpha_3}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t \\
&\qquad\qquad + x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}x^\sigma\partial_\sigma\,{}^0t - x^2 x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_{n-1}}\partial^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The Lorentz invariance condition $\big\langle {}^0t, x^{[\alpha}\partial^{\beta]}\psi\big\rangle = 0,\ \forall\psi\in\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ allows to write $x^2\partial^{\alpha_n}\,{}^0t = x_\sigma x^\sigma\partial^{\alpha_n}\,{}^0t = x_\sigma x^{\alpha_n}\partial^\sigma\,{}^0t$, so the last line of (31) vanishes. The second line of (30) is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\sigma x^\sigma x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_{n-2}}\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)} x^2\,{}^0t - \partial^{(\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_2}\cdots x^{\alpha_{n-2}}\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}(x^2)^2\,{}^0t \\
&\qquad = nx^2\eta^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2}x^{\alpha_3}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t - (n-3)(x^2)^2\eta^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2}\eta^{\alpha_3\alpha_4}x^{\alpha_5}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

again two terms vanish because of Lorentz invariance of ${}^0t$. Putting the $\frac{n-1}{n}$ in front of (32) and adding to (31) we get $(n+2)x^{(\alpha_1}\cdots x^{\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t + \frac{n-1}{n}$ times the second term from (32). This term cancels against the next line from (30), and so on. For n odd, the last line becomes $\frac{(n-1)\cdots 2}{n\cdots 5}(x^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}x^{(\alpha_1}\eta^{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}\,{}^0t$, which again cancels the line before. For n even the last line is

$$\frac{(n-1)\cdots 3}{n\cdots 4}\left(4(x^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}x^{(\alpha_1}x^{\alpha_2}\eta^{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)} - (x^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}\eta^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n)}\right)\,{}^0t,$$

where again the first term is canceled by the line above but the second gives a nontrivial contribution. We end up with

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta w} c^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}(w), \psi \right\rangle = + \left\langle {}^0t, x^{\alpha_1} \cdots x^{\alpha_n} \psi \right\rangle + \\ - \begin{cases} 0, & n \text{ odd}, \\ \frac{2(n-1)!!}{(n+2)!!} \left\langle {}^0t, (x^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \psi \right\rangle \eta^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \eta^{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n)}, & n \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Using this result and (29) we find:

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta w} \langle t, \phi \rangle, \psi \right\rangle = - \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \text{ even}}}^{\omega} \frac{d_n}{n!} \Box^{\frac{n}{2}} \phi(0),$$

$$d_n := \frac{2(n-1)!!}{(n+2)!!} \left\langle {}^0t, (x^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \psi \right\rangle,$$

where we set $d_0 = 1$.

5. Conclusion and Outlook

We give the explicit form of Lorentz invariant Epstein-Glaser renormalized distributions in one argument. In case of distributions depending on more than one argument the same calculation yields the totally symmetric coefficients c. But then the other coefficients do not vanish in general. In φ^4-theory this is already sufficient for the renormalization up to third order. The general case for Lorentz invariant and Lorentz covariant distributions is treated in [7].

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. K. Fredenhagen for useful hints and Michael Dütsch for reading the manuscript.

References

[1] E.C.G.Stueckelberg and D. Rivier, Helv. Phys. Acta, **22**(1949) 215. E.C.G.Stueckelberg and J. Green, Helv. Phys. Acta, **24**(1951) 153.

[2] N.N. Bogoliubov and D. Shirkov, *Introduction to the theory of quantized fields*, John Wiley and Sons, 1976, 3rd edition.

[3] H. Epstein and V. Glaser, *The role of locality in perturbation theory*, Ann. Inst. Henry Poincaré -Section A, Vol. XIX, n.3 (1973)p.211.

[4] G. Popineau and R. Stora, *A Pedagogical Remark on the Main Theorem of Perturbative Renormalization Theory*, unpublished preprint.

[5] G. Scharf, *Finite Quantum Electrodynamics: The Causal Approach*, Springer-Verlag, 1995, 2nd edition.

[6] K. Fredenhagen, *Renormierung auf Gekruemmten Raumzeiten*, lecture notes
R. Brunetti and K. Fredenhagen, *Interacting Quantum Fields in Curved Space: Renormalizability of* ϕ^4, gr-qc/9701048, *Proceedings of the Conference 'Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory'*, held at Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Rome, July 1996.

R. Brunetti and K. Fredenhagen, *Microlocal Analysis and Interacting Quantum Field Theories: Renormalization on Physical Backgrounds*, math-ph/9903028.

[7] D. Prange, *Lorentz Covariance in Epstein-Glaser Renormalization*, hep-th/9904136.